

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                       | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.      | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 10/655,772                            | 09/05/2003  | Dau Min Zhou         | S232-USA                 | 7230             |
| 7590 06/22/2006                       |             | EXAMINER             |                          |                  |
| Second Sight Medical Products, Inc.   |             |                      | KAHELIN, MICHAEL WILLIAM |                  |
| Building 3<br>12744 San Fernando road |             |                      | ART UNIT                 | PAPER NUMBER     |
| Sylmar, CA 91342                      |             |                      | 3762                     |                  |
|                                       |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/22/2006  |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |             |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |  | 10/655,772   | ZHOU ET AL.  |             |  |  |  |
|   |  | Examiner   | Art Unit   | <del></del> |  |  |  |
|   |  | Michael Kahelin  | 3762   |             |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |  |  |  |             |  |  |  |
| A SHC<br>WHICH<br>- Extens<br>after S<br>- If NO p<br>- Failure<br>Any re   | PRIENT STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the trill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON | ON.<br>imely filed<br>in the mailing date of this com<br>ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |             |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |  |             |  |  |  |
| 1)  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Se</u>   | eptember 2003.   |  |             |  |  |  |
|   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  |  |  |             |  |  |  |
| , —   | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |  |             |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |             |  |  |  |
| Disposition   | on of Claims   |  |  |             |  |  |  |
| 5)  | Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.  (a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.   | vn from consideration.   |  |             |  |  |  |
| Application   | on Papers  |  |  |             |  |  |  |
| 10) T   | The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o   | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>bjected to. See 37 CFR                                   |             |  |  |  |
| Priority u  | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |             |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |  |  |  |             |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice 3) Inform   | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date  | 4) Interview Summar<br>Paper No(s)/Mail I<br>5) Notice of Informal<br>6) Other:  |  | 152)        |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/655,772 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - 1. Claims 1-20, drawn to an electrode with a roughened substrate and rough surface coating, classified in class 607, subclass 116.
  - II. Claims 21-28, drawn to an electrode with a sputtered coating and gradient composition, classified in class 607, subclass 121.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require a roughened substrate. The subcombination has separate utility such as use in a system with different gradient compositions, such as titanium nitride.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. INVENTIONS I AND II ARE ALSO SUBJECT TO AN ELECTION OF SPECIES:

Application/Control Number: 10/655,772 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

5. This application contains claims directed to the several patentably distinct species.

- 6. **If invention I is elected**, a single species must be chosen from each of groups a-c:
  - a. Group I: surface coating of iridium oxide **or** surface coating of titanium nitride.
  - b. Group II: surface coating of at least 1 micron **or** surface coating of less than 1 micron.
  - c. Group III: sputtered surface coating **or** electroplated surface coating.
- 7. **If invention II is elected**, a single species must be chosen from each of groups d and e:
  - d. Group I: smooth substrate surface **or** rough substrate surface.
  - e. Group II: sputtered gradient composition **or** electroplated gradient composition.
- 8. The species are independent or distinct because they are mutually exclusive.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are allowable and generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Application/Control Number: 10/655,772

Art Unit: 3762

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

9. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/655,772 Page 5

Art Unit: 3762

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Kahelin whose telephone number is (571) 272-8688. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GEORGE R. EVANISKO PRIMARY EXAMINER 6/20/6

MWK MC 765 6/16/06